

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. THORBJORN ANDERSSON 027650-836 6484 11/03/1999 09/423,207 12/29/2003 **EXAMINER** 7590 ROBERT S. SWECKER PATTERSON, MARC A BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L..P ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

PO BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

1772 DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)
	09/423	3,207	ANDERSSON ET AL.
Office Action Summar	y Examir	ner	Art Unit
	Marc A	Patterson	1772
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on	the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If the period for reply specified above is less than the street of the specified above, the maxim  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	MUNICATION.  visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In not communication.  nirty (30) days, a reply within the shum statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the conths after the mailing date of this	o event, however, may a rep statutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on 27 August 20	003.	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	_		
3) Since this application is in cond			rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the p			
Disposition of Claims	•		•
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to re-	is/are withdrawn from ed.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	~		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is.			
Applicant may not request that any			• •
11) The oath or declaration is object			s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•	note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a c		undor 35 11 S.C. &	110(a) (d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None		under 55 0.5.0. §	119(a)-(a) or (1).
1. Certified copies of the price 2. Certified copies of the price 3. Copies of the certified copies.  * See the attached detailed Office at 3. Acknowledgment is made of a classince a specific reference was incoming the complete at the copies of the price at the copies of the certified copies of the	ority documents have boies of the priority documents of the priority documentional Bureau (PCT Reaction for a list of the ceasim for domestic priority	een received in Ap ments have been r Rule 17.2(a)). ertified copies not re r under 35 U.S.C. §	eceived in this National Stage
37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreig		•	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	nim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	•		mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)	Office Action Sum	mary	Part of Paper No. 22

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

1. The 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection of Claims 1-2 and 4, of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is withdrawn.

## REPEATED REJECTIONS

2. The 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of Claims 1 and 4 as being anticipated by Heider (U.S. Patent 5,405,667), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.

The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claim 3 as being unpatentable over Heider (U.S. Patent 5,405,667), of record on page 3 of the previous Action, is repeated.

## ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

3. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection of Claims 1-2 and 4, of record on page 2 of the previous Action, have been considered and have been found to be persuasive. The rejections are therefore withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of Claims 1 and 4 as being anticipated by Heider (U.S. Patent 5,405,667) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claim 3 as being unpatentable over Heider (U.S. Patent 5,405,667), of record in the previous Action, have been carefully considered but have not been found to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues, on page 3 of Paper No. 21, that the label disclosed by Heider is not a wall structure, but rather a laminate which is attached to a wall structure. However, the disclosed

Application/Control Number: 09/423,207

Art Unit: 1772

laminate is also clearly a structure, and is bonded directly to the wall of a container (column 2, lines 20-31); the label is therefore a wall structure.

Applicant also argues, on page 3, that Heider fails to teach that the foamed layer must comprise a mixture of a first rigid polymer component and a second ductile polymer component and the outer layers must be the same as the rigid polymer of the foamed intermediate layer; Heider, Applicant argues, discloses that the each outer layer preferably comprises different materials from the other, one layer of a low density polyethylene and one of a different plastic. However, Heider teaches that, for the outer layers or the intermediate layer, it is equivalent to use high density polyethylene, low density polyethylene, medium density polyethylene or a mixture of the polyethylenes (column 4, lines 32 - 57). The foamed layer therefore comprises a mixture of a first rigid polymer component and a second ductile component (a mixture of high density polyethylene, low density polyethylene and medium density polyethylene; column 4, lines 32 - 57) and the outer layers are the same as the rigid polymer of the foamed intermediate layer (a mixture of high density polyethylene, low density polyethylene and medium density polyethylene; column 4, lines 32 - 57).

Applicant also argues, on page 4, that the recitation that various types of polyethylene can be used in the various layers of the label does not anticipate the specific selection of particular types of polyethylene for each of the respective layers of the wall structure. However, Heider discloses only three preferred polymers for the three layers: high density polyethylene, low density polyethylene and medium density polyethylene, or a mixture of these polyethylenes (column 4, lines 32 – 57). The disclosure therefore reads on a wall structure having three layers.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,207

Art Unit: 1772

each comprising a mixture of high density polyethylene, low density polyethylene and medium density polyethylene, and therefore anticipates it.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Patterson, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3537. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 ÅM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold

Application/Control Number: 09/423,207

Art Unit: 1772

Pyon, can be reached at (703) 308-4251. FAX communications should be sent to (703) 872-

9310. FAXs received after 4 P.M. will not be processed until the following business day.

Marc A. Patterson, PhD.

Mare Pattern Art Unit 1772

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12/22/03